

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 ROBERT LUMPKIN,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 YANES, et. al.,

12 Defendants.

Case No. C17-1644 RAJ

**ORDER REGARDING LETTER
FROM PLAINTIFF**

13 On July 3, 2018, the Court received a letter from plaintiff indicating he does not recall the
14 names of the judges assigned to his cases, and he is working on an objection to defendants'
15 motion for summary judgment. Dkt. 57. Plaintiff also asks the Court to inform his prison he
16 "needs legal work regarding Lumpkin v. Yanes also Lumplin v. Salt." *Id.*

17 The Court **ORDERS**:

18 1. Defendants' filed a motion for summary judgment on May 31, 2018, and the matter
19 was noted for June 29, 2018 for the Court's consideration. Dkt. 43. The Court thus views
20 plaintiff's letter as an untimely request to submit a late response to the motion. Although the
21 Court normally denies all untimely requests, the Court, in this one instance, will permit plaintiff
22 to file an untimely response.

23 2. Plaintiff's response to the motion for summary judgment is due no later than **July 23,**
2018. The Clerk shall note the motion for **July 27, 2018** summary judgment as ready for the

1 Court's consideration.

2 3. Plaintiff's letter references Lumpkin v. Salt. The case number in that matter is 18-330
3 RSM-JPD. The Court in this order takes no action as to Lumpkin v. Salt. If plaintiff seeks Court
4 action in that case, he must file his pleadings under 18-330-RSM-JPD.

5 4. Plaintiff's request that the Court direct the Department of Corrections to provide him
6 with his legal work is DENIED. The Department of Corrections is not a party to this action and it
7 would thus be inappropriate for the Court to order the Department to do anything in this case at
8 this time.

9 5. The Clerk shall provide the parties with a copy of this order.

10 DATED this 6th day of July, 2018.

11
12 

13 BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA
14 United States Magistrate Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23